a	The conference was:	5	4	3	2	1	-	AVG
	InterestingBoring	38	37	9				4.35
	HelpfulUseless	26	43	14	1			4.12
	Well OrganizedChaotic	62	17	4			1	4.70
b	The speakers were:	5	4	3	2	1		
	ExcellentPoor	29	42	13				4.19
	ClearUnclear	13	57	14				3.99
	ExcessivelyInsufficiently detailed	4	21	47	11		1	3.22
c	The topics were:	5	4	3	2	1		
	PertinentNot pertinent	31	41	6			6	4.32
	UnderstandableWay over my head	30	41	10	1	1	1	4.18
d	The quality of the contributed papers was:	5	4	3	2	1		
	ExcellentPoor	30	41	10	1		2	4.22

Which speakers made the best presentations

Tayur	44
Lustig	27
Venkatasubramanian	27
Shah	22
Maranas	13
Sahinidis	10

In what ways would you suggest the conference oral presentation be improved?

Better font colors	5
More technical details	5
Larger Fonts	4
Distribute slides	3
Less math details	3
Better slide quality (general)	2
More Industrial, Industrial/Academic	
talks	2
More bright screen	1
Less Software marketing	1
Keep time limits	1
Fewer slides	1
Highlight future directions	1
Fewer presenters	1
More presenters	1
Tutorials for certain subjects	1
Post slides on the web-site	1
More time for discussion	1

Overview of the session	1
Better sound quality	1
Shorter presentations	1
More Enthusiasm	1
Include presentations in the CD	1
Mail abstracts earlier	1
What topics were of greatest interest to y	ou?
Optimization	31
Supply Chain Management	30
Scheduling	13
Planning	12
Modeling Techniques	10
Process Operations	6
Uncertainty	5
Safety	4
Design	3
Industrial-Academic collaborations	3
Solution Technologies	3
Overviews	3
Algorithms	2
Software vendors	2
Applications	1
Computer Technologies	1
Data Reconciliation	1
Industrial presentations	1
Control	1
What topics were of least interest to you'	
Software vendors	12
Bio & Pharma	9
Process Operations	8
Supply Chain Management	6
Control	6
Safety	3
Planning	2
Scheduling	2
Hybrid Optimization	2
Collaborations	2
Data reconciliation	1
Solution Techniques	1

What topics should have been included o	r given greater emphasis	?
Forecasting	4	
Control	4	
Uncertainty	4	
SCM Dynamics	4	
Data analysis, mining, integration	3	
Modelling	3	
Environmental issues	3	
IT issues	3	
Industry/academic collaborations Interaction between SCM and	3	
operations	2	
SCM success stories	2	
Scheduling/planning integration	2	
Integration of different operation levels	1	
Decision making process	1	
Techniques	1	
Biochemical systems	1	
Quality control/management	1	
Process operations	1	
Education	1	
Bioinformatics	1	
R&D	1	
Life cycle applications	1	
Software vendors	1	
Did you find the contributed paper session	on to be helpful?	
YES	83	
NO	1	
Comments on Posters		
Give opportunity presenters to see other	posters	9
Smaller number of posters		3
Some of the posters could be oral present	tations	2
More related to operations and SCM		2
Cocentric configuration so there are no opposters	overlooked	1
Short talks (5 min) before poster session		1
Poster format better publicized		1
Second session not necessary		1
More detailed review of the posters		1

The sessions themselves were:	
Too long	11
Too short	2
Comments on sessions	
Sessions were OK	22
Shorter presentations	3
Afternoons free	2
The talks between the sessions are as interes	sting as the sessions
themselves	1
Too intensive over the day	1
Program too loaded with sessions	1
Longer afternoon breaks	1
Evening session to be reconsidered	1
I would have liked (more/less) time for ques	
More time	28
Less time	5
How did you benefit from attending the con	ference?
Networking	30
Overview of the state of the art	16
See new ideas, methods, trends	26
Do you recommend having another FOCAP	
2004	6
2005	12
2006	25
2007	7
2008	15
What season?	
Summer	20
Winter	19
Fall	2
Spring	2
Location?	
Rockies	7
California	5
Florida	5
Colorado	4
Europe	3
Hawaii	2
Montreal	1
New England	1
Utah	1
New Mexico	1

What duration do you recommend for the next FOCAPO meeting?

Sunday to Wednesday (like FOCAPO 2003) 46
Sunday to Friday (like previous FOCAPO meetings) 26

Did you participate in the meal plan?

Yes 59	
No 23	
Comments on meal plan:	
Good quality/variety	7
Bad quality/variety for the price	5
Provide more warm meals	2
Good for networking	2
I wish I had participated Plan more expensive than eating in the	2
hotel	1
Expensive	1
Include dinner on Monday	1
Too packed	1
Have an additional lunch plan	1

Please rate the Coral Springs Marriott as a meeting facility

	5	4	3	2	1	-	AVG
Meeting rooms	37	31	13			1	4.30
Audio-Visual	30	39	10	2	1		4.16
Sleeping rooms	32	32	12			6	4.26
Food & Beverage	11	22	34	6	5	4	3.36
Recreation	2	15	17	23	14	10	2.55
Comments on hotel:							
Isolated					13		
Expensive					2		
Better e-mail/internet facility					2		
Public phones were broken					2		
Bad hotel/restaurant service					2		
Bigger projector					2		
No recreation					2		
Tours to nearby attractions					1		
Need for auditorium					1		
If you did not play golf, litera	lly no	thing	to do		1		
No public transportation					1		
I stayed elsewhere; \$70 to \$19	4				1		

How did you first hear about this conference?	
Colleague	
FOCAPO Brochure	
CAST mail	
Website	
Other:	
Previous FOCAPO	
e-mail announcement	
CACHE Newsletter	
General comments, suggestions, or feedback	
Excellent conference	
Less tight schedule	
More time for interaction	
More PCs for internet connection	
More participation from industry	
Merge FOCAPO and FOCAPOD: one week conference, every 4 y	years
Focus on future research, open problems; not on work done	
Clearer poster instructions	
Distribute list of participants	
Have all presentations in one computer	
Provide presentations in CD	
Provide power-cord for laptop demos in poster session	
Hold yearly	
Too much emphasis on SCM	
Important to get engaging speaker	
End final day at noon	
Post in the web the presentations/papers not in the proceedings	
Extend to Friday; have half-week fee to attract industrial people	
Pre-conference sightseeing package	
Clearer indication whether contributed papers will be posters or	talks
More software/book vendors	
Include limited parallel sessions	
Have a contributed paper in each session	
Distribute presentations	